Co-funded by
the European Union

DROPOUT REASON ANALYSIS

INDIVIDUAL

VET design

1. AT A GLANCE

Activity title	*Dropout Reason Analysis — Reflective Case Analysis*
Format	*Individual (Self-paced)*
Duration	*90 Minutes*
Group size	*Self-paced (Designed for independent execution)*
Challenge	*From Enrolment to Completion (Engaging and retaining adult learners through to certification)*
Setting	*Independent professional workspace / Desktop computing environment*
Method / framework	*Reflective Case Analysis / 5-Part Categorization Attrition Framework*

2. DESCRIPTION

The Dropout Reason Analysis is a self-paced, retrospective diagnostics tool structured specifically for single VET educators, training coordinators, and program designers. High dropout rates represent a persistent challenge in adult vocational training, with a notable pattern of learners registering with immense energy only to drop off within the first three weeks of class. This individual activity empowers the practitioner to step away from abstract institutional assumptions and conduct an objective critique of their latest cohort's retention performance.

Working independently, the educator selects five specific historical student dropouts from their data pool and methodically deconstructs their exit patterns using a five-part qualitative taxonomy: life circumstances, motivation, content fit, belonging, and practical constraints. By isolating and cross-referencing these explicit human indicators, the educator uncovers systemic trends rather than viewing dropouts as isolated incidents. This activity can be deployed as an independent professional development milestone, an on-demand troubleshooting exercise, or as critical preparation homework to fuel collaborative sessions within an institutional Design Circle

2.1 LEARNING OUTCOMES

By the conclusion of this self-paced analytical exercise, the participating VET professional will be able to:

- Categorize complex adult student exit data systematically using an established five-part behavioral framework.
- Isolate systemic programmatic triggers that accelerate adult learner dropouts within the first three weeks of training.
- Generate a structured, one-page pattern summary mapping localized retention trends based on hard empirical evidence.
- Formulate data-driven modifications for institutional onboarding processes and early-warning monitoring tools



- Prioritize ethical file handling before commencing analysis. Strip away all direct student identifiers (names, exact addresses, identifying ID tags) from the five case sheets to protect student privacy and ensure completely unbiased reflection.

- Adult learner dropouts rarely occur due to a single isolated issue. Watch closely for interconnected compounding factors—such as a practical transportation constraint or shift in work schedules triggering an emotional drop in motivation and structural belonging.

- While external "life circumstances" are often outside of your direct control, look honestly at "content fit" and "belonging" indicators. These categories represent the specific curriculum design choices you can directly alter to improve future cohort performance.

3. PREPERATION

- **Data Gathering Workflow:** Secure authorized access to your most recent completed or active adult vocational cohort database. Extract a list of individuals who officially withdrew, were dropped for non-attendance, or became inactive within the first 50% of the program's timeline.
- **Framework Alignment:** Print out or digitally open the VETDesign 5-Part Case Analysis Matrix sheet featuring dedicated sections for tracking life circumstances, motivation, content fit, belonging, and practical issues.
- **Workspace Configuration:** Dedicate a continuous 90-minute block of focused time free from daily operational interruptions to ensure deep, high-fidelity reflective thinking.

3.1 MATERIALS NEEDED

- [ ] Anonymized historic student dropout file logs and registration timelines from your last adult cohort
- [ ] Digital or physical copy of the VETDesign Case Analysis Grid worksheet
- [ ] Multi-colored highlighters or digital text-annotation software matching the five focus colors
- [ ] Word processor or desktop document generator to construct the final one-page pattern summary sheet
- [ ] Direct access to the official Design Circles Platform to reference shared case study archetypes

4. STEP-BY-STEP INSTRUCTIONS

Step	Time	What the facilitator does
1	*10 min.*	*Open the work environment. Review the analytical definitions of the 5 dropout categories to maintain absolute coding objectivity (Life Circumstances, Motivation, Content Fit, Belonging, Practical)*
2	*20 min.*	*Review the cohort's dropout pool. Select exactly 5 diverse cases to act as your core diagnostic sample. Try to choose cases with contrasting profiles (e.g., an early week-1 drop-off, a mid-course withdrawal, a student who quietly ghosted, an explicit work conflict).*
3	*30 min.*	*Dive deeply into the first case file. Review registration notes, emails, drop-out dates, and assignment submissions. Map distinct statements and behavioral markers onto your analysis grid, categorizing the exit drivers across the 5 dimensions. Repeat the coding loop for the remaining 4 cases.*
4	*20 min.*	*Look across all 5 completed case rows on your matrix. Identify recurring overlaps and structural patterns. (e.g., Are 4 out of 5 cases indicating severe practical friction during week 2? Is a lack of peer-belonging manifesting into a sudden drop in motivation right before module completion?)*
5	*10 min.*	*Synthesize your core findings into a highly scannable, one-page pattern summary document. Clearly list the top 3 structural attrition triggers discovered and save the artifact to bring into your upcoming Design Circle meeting or institutional planning group.*

5. DEBRIEF QUESTIONS



- Which of the five analytical framework categories emerged as the absolute dominant driver of student dropouts within my specific VET curriculum track?
- How many of the identified attrition triggers could have been flag-mapped earlier if I had deployed a formal behavioral checklist inspection at week 2 and week 6?
- What specific early-warning behaviors (e.g., late submissions, quietness in class, missed introductory blocks) did I overlook during week one that directly predicted a subsequent student withdrawal?
- What concrete, immediate adjustments can I introduce to our programmatic layout next month to strengthen "content fit" and reduce early student disorientation?

5.1 KOLB EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING CYCLE

Concrete experience	*The individual practitioner reviews concrete, real-world historical cohort records and purposefully selects 5 actual adult student dropout cases for inspection.*
Reflective observation	*The educator pauses to systematically unpack each case history, tracking the raw qualitative records against the 5 structural dimensions of the diagnostic matrix.*
Abstract conceptualisation	*The educator synthesizes the separate data threads into a single one-page trend summary, extracting broader institutional patterns of student drop-off vulnerabilities.*
Active experimentation	*[How participants identify what they will test in their own work — one sentence]*

Authors and main contributors:

Thomas Gkilias (MindmeUp), Konstantina Mataftsi (MindmeUp), Mohamed Sakr (Acceleras), Kristýna Strnadová (Know-House), Magdalena Hubená (Know-House), Marzena Beáta Oltean (VET Center Romania), Szabolcs Dolcsig (VET Center Romania), Thomas Blum (RegioVision GmbH Schwerin)

This document is the deliverable of the Erasmus+ Project KA220-VET - Cooperation partnerships in vocational education and training (KA220-VET) "Design Thinking for Quality VET Education Matching Labour Market Needs – VETdesign" and it represents the Result of the Work Package 2 of the project.

Copyright Notice:

© 2024–2026 [Design Thinking for Quality VET Education Matching Labour Market Needs – VETdesign Project]. The document is under a CC license (BY SA): "This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License". All rights reserved. This manual and all its contents are protected under copyright laws. Unauthorized reproduction, distribution, or use of any part of this document is strictly prohibited without prior written consent from the Project Coordinator.

For further information or permission please contact: info@vetdesign-erasmus.eu
Project no. 2024-1-DE02-KA220-VET-000253834

Co-Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them